

Proving the t -Distribution Arises from Sampling Normally-Distributed Populations

Benjamin Posnick

Claim 1. *The t -distribution arises from sampling normal populations when the variance is unknown and is taken from the sample itself.*

Proof. The probability density function $f_T(\cdot)$ of the t -distribution is given as follows:

$$f_T(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\nu\pi} \cdot \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \quad (1)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. Our goal will be to show that a random variable T constructed from sampling n points from normally-distributed populations of unknown variance has exactly this probability density function, with $\nu = n - 1$ degrees of freedom.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) normal random variables, with unknown mean μ and unknown variance σ^2 . We define the random variable T as follows:

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \quad (2)$$

where S is the sample standard deviation of X_1, \dots, X_n :

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \quad (3)$$

We can rewrite T as follows, where Z is the standard normal random variable, i.e. $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sigma}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{S}{\sigma}} \\ &= Z \frac{1}{\frac{S}{\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last line comes from the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mu$ and $Std(\bar{X}) = \sqrt{Var(\bar{X})} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$. Using equation (3), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= Z \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \\
&= Z \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2}}} \\
&= Z \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{V}{n-1}}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $V = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2}$. Since $V = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$, by Cochran's theorem, it follows that $V \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$, i.e. V has chi-square distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom. This comes from the fact that the square of the sum of two normals is exponentially-distributed, and the sum of exponentials is gamma-distributed, where the chi-square distribution is the special case of this exponential.

We now want to find the probability density function of T . If we define $X = \sqrt{\frac{V}{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{n-1}}$, then our expression for T becomes:

$$T = \frac{Z}{X}$$

The probability density function of X is found as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_X(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left[\mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\frac{V}{n-1}} \leq x \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} \left[\mathbb{P} \left(V \leq (n-1)x^2 \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} \left[F_V((n-1)x^2) \right] \\
&= 2(n-1)x \cdot f_V((n-1)x^2) \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)x}{2^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} [(n-1)x^2]^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \exp \left(-\frac{(n-1)x^2}{2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\nu = n - 1$ and simplifying, we obtain:

$$f_X(x) = \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} x^{\nu-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\nu x^2}{2} \right)$$

We will now prove a useful lemma that will aid us in our proof of claim 1.

Lemma 1. Z and X are independent random variables.

Proof. We can prove this claim by showing that \bar{X} and S^2 are independent random variables, since Z was derived from \bar{X} , since and X was derived from S^2 . We know that:

$$\bar{X} \sim \mathcal{N} \left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)$$

We know that inside the summation over $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ in the equation for the sample variance, we have the term $X_i - \bar{X}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_i - \bar{X} &= X_i - \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_{i-1} + X_i + X_{i+1} + \dots + X_n) \\
&= \frac{(n-1)X_i}{n} - \frac{1}{n} (X_1 + \dots + X_{i-1} + X_{i+1} + \dots + X_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Since the X_i 's are i.i.d. normally-distributed and the sample mean is also normally-distributed, it follows that their sum is also normally-distributed:

$$\begin{aligned}
X_i - \bar{X} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\mu - \frac{(n-1)}{n}\mu, \frac{(n-1)^2\sigma^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{n^2}\right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}((n-1)^2 + (n-1))\right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}(n^2 - 2n + 1 + n - 1)\right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}(n^2 - n)\right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\
&\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We also know $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(X_i - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) &= Cov(X_i, \bar{X}) - Cov(\bar{X}, \bar{X}) \\
&= Cov(X_i, \bar{X}) - Var(\bar{X}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}(X_i \bar{X}) - \mathbb{E}(X_i)\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}) - Var(\bar{X}) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(X_i \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_{i-1} + X_i + X_{i+1} + \dots + X_n)\right) - 0 \cdot \mu^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{n}(X_i X_1 + \dots + X_i X_{i-1} + X_i X_i + X_i X_{i+1} + \dots + X_i X_n)\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\left(\mathbb{E}(X_i)\mathbb{E}(X_1) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(X_i^2) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(X_i)\mathbb{E}(X_n)\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\left(0 + \dots + Var(X_i^2) + \dots + 0\right) - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the covariance matrix Σ for the random vector $V = (\bar{X}, X_1 - \bar{X}, \dots, X_n - \bar{X})^T$ is given by:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} Var(\bar{X}) & Cov(\bar{X}, X_1 - \bar{X}) & \dots & Cov(\bar{X}, X_n - \bar{X}) \\ Cov(X_1 - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) & Var(X_1 - \bar{X}) & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Cov(X_n - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) & \dots & \dots & Var(X_n - \bar{X}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $Cov(X_i - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) = Cov(\bar{X}, X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, Σ is a diagonal matrix, and because it is also square, it follows that it is symmetric too, i.e. $\Sigma = \Sigma^T$. Since Σ is upper-triangular, it follows that the diagonal entries are its eigenvalues. Since all of the eigenvalues are variances, all of the eigenvalues are non-negative. Because of this and the fact that Σ is symmetric, Σ is also positive semi-definite. Therefore, it follows that V is multivariate normal with mean vector $M = (\mu, \mu, \dots, \mu)^T$.

Since V is multivariate normal, any pair of its components which are uncorrelated are also independent. Since we showed $Cov(X_i - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) = Cov(\bar{X}, X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, it follows that all pairs of random variables in V are independent. This also implies then that \bar{X} and $U = (X_1 - \bar{X}, \dots, X_n - \bar{X})^T$ are

independent. Since we know that $U^T U = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = (n-1)S^2$, where S^2 is the sample variance, we have thus shown that \bar{X} and S^2 are independent. \square

Now that we have proved lemma 1, we can use it to finish our proof of claim 1. The random variable T is the quotient of Z and X , where X and Z are independent by lemma 1, so its probability density function is given by the following, where $f_Z(\cdot)$ is the probability density function of the standard normal random variable:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_T(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s| f_Z(ts) f_X(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} s f_Z(ts) f_X(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^{\infty} s \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(ts)^2}{2}\right) \right] \left[\frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} s^{\nu-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu s^2}{2}\right) \right] ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} s \left[\exp\left(-\frac{(ts)^2}{2}\right) \right] \left[s^{\nu-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu s^2}{2}\right) \right] ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} s^{\nu} \exp\left(\frac{-(ts)^2 - \nu s^2}{2}\right) ds \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} s^{\nu} \exp\left(-\frac{(t^2 + \nu)s^2}{2}\right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

We can use u-substitution, with $u \triangleq s^2 \implies du = 2s ds \implies ds = \frac{du}{2s} \implies s = \sqrt{u}$:

$$f_T(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} u^{(\nu-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(t^2 + \nu)u}{2}\right) du \quad (4)$$

The integrand of this integral has a similar form to the gamma probability density function $f_G(\cdot)$, for some arbitrary random variable $G \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \theta)$, where k is the shape parameter and θ is the scale parameter:

$$f_G(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)\theta^k} u^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{u}{\theta}\right) \quad (5)$$

Thus, we can match parameters between our integrand and the gamma PDF to simplify our expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta} &= \frac{t^2 + \nu}{2} \implies \theta = \frac{2}{t^2 + \nu} \\
k - 1 &= (\nu - 1)/2 \implies k = \frac{\nu + 1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging (5) and plugging in the above parameters, this implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(k)\theta^k f_G(u) &= u^{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{u}{\theta}\right) \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu + 1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{t^2 + \nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}} f_G(u) &= u^{(\nu-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(t^2 + \nu)u}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging back into (4) and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_T(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{t^2+\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}} f_G(u) du \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{t^2+\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty f_G(u) du}_{=1, \text{ gamma defined on } (0, \infty)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{t^2+\nu}\right)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{1-\nu/2}}{2} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{2^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}}{(t^2+\nu)^{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{\nu/2} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \frac{(t^2+\nu)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}}{2^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{2^{\nu/2}}{2^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}} \nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \left(\frac{t^2}{\nu} + \frac{\nu}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\nu^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\nu^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\nu\pi} \cdot \Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

We see that this final result is exactly equal to (1), thus proving the claim. □